## Impact of Pupil Premium 2012-13 (updated October 31<sup>st</sup> 2013)

To try to show the impact of spending of Pupil Premium we have set out data below comparing those students on Pupil Premium with the rest of the cohort. The intention is that any gaps between the two figures should be as small as possible given the intervention being undertaken. We have used various sorts of data including our own in House measures as well as those produced by the Department for Education which is largely RAISE on Line. RAISE on Line is sophisticated analysis of our school data.

The figures below plot the progress of students towards their targets. The percentage shown in each column indicates if Pupil Premium students are above or below the rest of the year group in terms of progress. We will add to this table over time to plot trends.

For example in Year 9 the percentage of Pupil Premium students on target is 6% above the rest of the year group.

#### 2013 cohorts (In house analysis)

Year Group	Progress	English	Maths
	towards target		
Year 8 (2012-	3+ levels of	-12%	-10%
17)	progress		
	4+ levels of	-1%	2%
	progress		
Year 9 (2011-	3+ levels of	2%	-7%
16)	progress		
	4+ levels of	6%	-3%
	progress		
Year 10	3+ levels of	-9%	4%
(2010-15)	progress		
	4+ levels of	-9%	5%
	progress		

## **Expected progress for Year 11 GCSE (Taken from RAISE)**

				20	011					
	English					Maths				
	Sc	hool	National		School		National			
	Cohort	Achieved	Non	Average	Cohort	Achieved	Non	Average		
		expected	CLA/FSM	difference		expected	CLA/FSM	difference		
		progress			progress					
		(%)				(%)				
All pupils	207	87	77	10	207	79	71	8		
Non	186	90	77	13	186	83	71	12		
*CLA/FSM										
CLA/FSM	21	65	77	-12	21	48	71	-23		
Within		-12				-35				
school										
gap										

<sup>\*</sup>CLA = looked after child

The result in maths is skewed in 2012 as two FSM students did not sit the examination due to unforeseen, and mitigating, circumstances)

		2	.012							
	English					Maths				
	Sc	hool	National		School		National			
	Cohort	Achieved	Non	Average	Cohort	Achieved	Non	Average		
		expected	CLA/FSM	difference		expected	CLA/FSM	difference		
		progress				progress				
		(%)				(%)				
All pupils	208	75	72	3	208	79	73	6		
Non	197	76	72	4	197	82	73	9		
*CLA/PP										
*CLA/PP	11	64	72	-8	11	36	73	-37		
Within		-12				-46				
school										
gap										

<sup>\*</sup>CLA = looked after child

## Value added score for 2012 (RAISE 2012)

Value added is a progress measure. If a student makes no progress then the value added score is a 1000.

	School	National	Difference
PP	1016.9	981.9	35
Non FSM	1025.9	1005.4	20.5

#### Attendance for 2011-12 (Data from Raise)

	% of sess	sions missed	du e to ov	erall	Persistent absentees- absent for 15% or					
	absence					more of sessions				
Year	20	11-12	2012-13		2011-12		2012-13			
	School National average		School	National	school	National	School	National		
			average			average		average		
		for secondary schools		for		for		for		
				secondary		secondary		secondary		
				schools		schools		schools		
All	4.8%	5.7%			4.1%	6.9%				
Pupil	7.9%	8.5%			9.3%	13.8%				
Premium										

#### Participation in House Events and Merits (In House analysis)

We count up what involvement students have in House Events. The table below shows how many events students have taken part in during the year.

	2011-12		2012-13		2013-14	
	PP	Cohort	PP	Cohort	PP	Cohort
KS3	2.7	3.2	4.3	5.3	NA	NA
KS4	1.8	2	1.3	1.8	NA	NA

# Average Number of Merits received by students (In House analysis)

We look at how many merits students get (this can be for fantastic homework, contributing well in class, anything that's worthy of merit!)

	2012-13			2013-14			2014-15		
Year	Non	PP	Difference	Non	PP	Difference	Non	PP	Difference
Group	PP			PP			PP		
7	99	96	-3						
8	94	81	-13						
9	76	67	-9						
10	57	49	-8						
11	52	33	-19						